

Community Readiness Manual

Assessing community readiness for change
Increasing community capacity for “this issue” Prevention
Creating a climate that makes healthy change possible

Barbara A. Plested
Pamela Jumper-Thurman
Ruth W. Edwards



Plested, B.A., Jumper-Thurman, P., & Edwards, R.W (2009, February). *Community Readiness: Advancing "this issue" prevention in Native communities (Community Readiness Model Manual, Revised Edition)*. Fort Collins, CO: Ethnic Studies Department.

Graphics compiled by Andrea Israel (2009) and Kristin Kirk (2006)

Barbara A. Plested, Ph.D.
Pamela Jumper-Thurman, Ph.D.
Ruth W. Edwards, Ph.D.

The National Center for Community Readiness
Ethnic Studies Department
Colorado State University
352 Aylesworth Hall SE
Fort Collins, CO 80523
(970) 491-6137/Fax (970) 491-2717
Toll-free (800) 642-0273
www.happ.colostate.edu



Handbook revised February 2011

Table Of Contents

Acknowledgments	1
The National Center for Community and Organizational Readiness	4
What Is The Community Readiness Model?	5
What Does "Readiness" Mean?	5
Why Use The Community Readiness Model?	6
What Should NOT Be Expected From The Model?	7
Process For Using The Community Readiness Model	8
Step-By-Step Guide To Doing An Assessment	9
Dimensions Of Readiness	10
Stages Of Community Readiness	11
How To Conduct A Community Readiness Assessment	13
Community Readiness Assessment Interview Questions	15
Scoring Community Readiness Interviews	17
Community Readiness Assessment Scoring Sheet	20
Anchored Rating Scales For Scoring Each Dimension	22
Using the Assessment To Develop Strategies	28
Goals And General Strategies Appropriate For Each Stage	29
Workshop Presentation Script	33
Workshop Presentation Slides	41
Brainstorming An Action Plan	45
Form For Recording Community Strengths, Conditions/Concerns & Resources	48
Form For Recording Community Action Plan	50
Important Points About Using The Model	52
Note On How To Do A Brief Assessment	53
How Other Communities Have Used The Model For Other Issues	54
Ways The Community Readiness Model Can Be Used	60
Validity And Reliability Of The Community Readiness Model Assessment Tool	61
Learning More About Capacity Building Assistance Using The Community Readiness Model	64
Bibliography	65
About The Authors	68

Acknowledgments

This Community Readiness Handbook was prepared in response to the many requests from the field for an easy-to-use guide. In the pages that follow, the key concepts of the model are described in a practical, step-by-step manner. The purpose is to guide users in implementing the model so that they can better initiate the process of community change and to help them to develop effective, culturally-appropriate, and community-specific strategies for prevention and intervention. It is our hope that this handbook will facilitate those efforts in working toward healthier communities

The Community Readiness Model represents a true partnership between prevention science and community experience. We are extremely fortunate to have shared the successful journey toward community change with many communities throughout the world. Some of those who have been instrumental in the development of key aspects of the model and the theory behind it, and/or have been key supporters in its development and use include:

Eugene Oetting

Elizabeth Robertson

Michael Slater

Kathleen Kelly

Fred Beauvais

Mary Ann Pentz

Zili Sloboda

Joe Donnermeyer

Jill Erickson

We acknowledge the major contribution of the Center for Disease Control and Prevention in supporting our Capacity Building Assistance to communities, and for production of this manual under Cooperative Agreement No. U65/CCU823700-01-1. Likewise, we acknowledge the support of the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, the National Institute of Justice and the National Institute of Drug Abuse for support on previous grants and cooperative agreements. All contributed to the development of this manual and provided support for applications of the model to address major social issues.

We are very grateful to the entire staff of the Ethnic Studies Department as well as the many Community Based Organizations and tribes for supporting our work with professionalism and zeal. In particular, the following individuals have contributed to the dissemination of the model by working directly with communities, conducting trainings, and helping develop materials.

Heather Helm

Richard J. Turner

Pamela LeMaster

Linda Stanley

Melanie Domenech

Michelle Gayon

Andrea Israel

Martha Burnside

Gerald Rivera

Dean Helzer

Kassandra Turner

Sandra Stroud

Irene Vernon

Nori Comello

Kristin Kirk

Mary Stimps

Love Foster-Horton

Nizhoni Burnside

From the front lines of community advocacy and service provision, we acknowledge the many people over the years who have helped field-test the model and who have shared their insights. Among those who have contributed in this way are:

Donna Briones	Deanna Chancellor	Sandra Stroud
Gail Wood	John Briggs	Jim Lewis
Elizabeth "Cookie" Rose	Diane Galloway	Marilyn Patton
Susie Markus	Korin Schmidt	Dolores Jimerson
Diane Ogilvie	Ted Jones	Elizabeth Lopez
Angela Moore-Parmlee	Randy Madigan	Teresa Cain
Anna Huntington-Kriska	Agnes Sweetsir	Robin Erz
Hope Taft	Don Coyhis	Barbara McTurk
Stephanie Craig Rushing	Roe Bubar	William Szlemko
James Wood	Marie Kirk	Daron Scarborough
Kory Montoya	Hamen Ides	Mabel Granados
Susie Amundson	Janeen Gray	Joe Bray
Willie Wolf	Kathryn Pitchford	Ben Ferro

....and many more

Finally, we acknowledge those who choose to read this manual - community members, health providers, and researchers who share the vision for healthier communities through positive change. You are our inspiration and our best teachers!

Thank you,
Barbara A. Plested
Pamela Jumper-Thurman
Irene Vernon

"Never doubt that a small group
of thoughtful, committed citizens can change the world;
indeed, it's the only thing that ever has."

~ Margaret Mead ~

"In our every deliberation
we must consider the impact of our decision
on the next seven generations."

~ Great Law of the Six Nations Iroquois Confederacy ~

The National Center for Community & Organizational Readiness

The National Center for Community & Organizational Readiness (NCCOR), is an entity established to work collaboratively with communities nationwide to improve the health and quality of life for under-served and multiethnic populations through capacity building assistance, training, and research efforts.

Mission

The mission of the National Center for Community & Organizational Readiness is to strengthen the ability of indigenous and ethnic communities to engage those living in the community to better manage their personal and community health. We dedicate ourselves to providing these communities with culturally appropriate best practices to improve their quality of life, and to become self-motivated, educated and active in reducing their risk for disease and trauma.

Guiding Principles

1. We respect the strength and culture of communities, families, and individuals and honor their ability to provide their own solutions to the challenges that they identify.
2. We believe that our success lies in our ability to provide the highest quality of capacity building assistances that is based on the concepts of community readiness.
3. We value listening to the voices of community people and responding to their diverse needs in providing resources and services.
4. We strive to provide ethical and cost effective readiness methods that have been proven successful and culturally sound.

What Is The Community Readiness Model?

The Community Readiness Model:

- is a model that creates community change while integrating the culture of a community, the existing resources, and the *level of readiness* in order to more effectively address an issue.
- allows a community to define issues and strategies in their own context;
- builds cooperation between systems and individuals;
- increases community capacity for prevention and intervention;
- encourages and enhances community investment in awareness of the issue;
- can be applied in any "community" (geographic, issue-based, organizational, etc.);
- can be used to address a wide range of issues; and
- is a guide to the complex process of community change.

The Community Readiness Model:

...Assists in identifying the community "truth" about a specific issue. This may or may not be the same as the actual reality. However, a community's perception is their reality/truth and this truth is vitally important when developing strategies. For example, if interviewees perceive that leadership is only minimally involved in the issue, the score for Dimension C (Leadership) will be low. However, if in fact, leaders ARE involved and supportive, this discrepancy between the community's perceived truth and the real truth is important in the development of strategies that will reach the community. Perhaps the action plan might include a step in which leaders find creative ways to better inform the community about their involvement so that perception of the community changes and community interest increases.

What Does “Readiness” Mean?

Readiness is the degree to which a community is prepared to take action on an issue. Readiness...

- is very issue-specific;
- is measurable across multiple dimensions;
- may vary across dimensions;
- may vary across different segments of a community;
- can be increased successfully; and
- is essential knowledge for the development of strategies and interventions.

Matching an intervention to a community's level of readiness is absolutely essential to increase the potential for success. Certainly, interventions must be challenging enough to move a community forward in its level of readiness. However, efforts that are too ambitious are likely to fail because community members will not be ready or able to respond. To maximize chances for successful prevention or intervention, the Community Readiness Model offers tools to measure readiness and to develop stage-appropriate strategies.

Why Use The Community Readiness Model?

- The issue may be a sensitive issue that encounters barriers at various levels. Community Readiness provides a structure for addressing this resistance.
- It conserves valuable resources (time, money, etc.) by guiding the selection of strategies that are most likely to be successful.
- It is an efficient, inexpensive, and easy-to-use tool.
- It promotes community recognition and ownership of the issues.
- Because of strong community ownership, it helps to ensure that strategies are culturally congruent and sustainable.
- It encourages the use of *local* experts and resources instead of reliance on outside experts and resources.
- The process of community change can be complex and challenging, but the model breaks down the process into a series of manageable steps.
- It creates a community vision for healthy change.

What Should NOT Be Expected From The Model?

- The model can't make people do things they don't believe in.
- Although the model is a useful diagnostic tool, it doesn't prescribe the details of exactly what intervention or curriculum to utilize to do to meet your goals. The model defines types and intensity of strategies appropriate to each stage of readiness. Each community must then determine specific programs, curricula or interventions to be used that are consistent with the community's culture and level of readiness for each dimension.

Next is a brief overview of how the Community Readiness Model may be applied to address an issue in your community.

Process For Using The Community Readiness Model

Define the Issue



Define "Community"



Conduct Key Respondent Interviews



Score to Determine Readiness Level



Develop Strategies/Conduct Workshops



COMMUNITY CHANGE!

Step-By-Step Guide To Doing An Assessment

- **Step 1:** *Identify your issue.*
- **Step 2:** *Define your target "community".* The concept of "community" may include a geographical area, a specific subgroup within that area, an organization or any other type of identifiable "community." It could be youth, elders, a reservation area, or a system.
- **Step 3:** To determine your community's level of readiness *conduct a Community Readiness Assessment* using key respondent interviews. This process is described further starting on page 13.
- **Step 4:** With the completed assessment, you are ready to score your community interviews for each of the six dimensions, as well as calculating an overall score. *Analyze the results of the assessment using both the numerical scores and the qualitative content of the interviews* (see pages 17-26).
- **Step 5:** *Develop strategies that are stage-appropriate.* For example, at lower levels of readiness, the intensity of the intervention must be more low key and personal. See pages 27-31 for general types of strategies that are appropriate for each stage of readiness.
- **Step 6:** After some time has passed, *evaluate the effectiveness of your efforts.* You may choose to conduct another assessment for a comparison of your community's progress.
- **Step 7:** As your community's level of readiness to address the issue increases, you may find it helpful to also address related issues. *Utilize what you've learned to apply the model to other issues.*

In the following sections, the foundational concepts of the Community Readiness Model are defined. These are the *dimensions* and *stages* of readiness.

Dimensions Of Readiness For “this issue” Prevention

Dimensions of readiness are key factors that influence your community's preparedness to take action on the issue. The six dimensions identified and measured in the Community Readiness Model are very comprehensive in nature. They are an excellent tool for diagnosing your community's needs and for developing strategies that meet those needs.

- A. **Community Efforts**: To what extent are there efforts, programs, and policies that address the issue?
- B. **Community Knowledge Of The Efforts**: To what extent do community members know about local efforts and their effectiveness, and are the efforts accessible to all segments of the community?
- C. **Leadership**: To what extent are appointed/elected leaders and influential community members (non elected/appointed) supportive of prevention?
- D. **Community Climate**: What is the prevailing attitude of the community toward the issue?
- E. **Community Knowledge About The Issue**: To what extent do community members know about or have access to information on the issue and its existence or impact in your community?
- F. **Resources Related To The Issue**: To what extent are local resources - people, time, money, space, etc. - available to support prevention efforts?

Your community's score with respect to each of the dimensions will form the baseline foundation of the overall level of community readiness.

Next, each of the nine stages of readiness
of the Community Readiness Model are defined.



STAGE	DESCRIPTION
1. No Awareness	The issue is not generally recognized by the community or the leaders as a problem (if it truly is an issue as indicated by statistics).
2. Denial / Resistance	At least some community members recognize that the issue is a concern, but there is little recognition that it might be occurring locally.
3. Vague Awareness	Most feel that there may be a local concern, but there is no immediate motivation or willingness to do anything about it.
4. Preplanning	There is clear recognition that something must be done and there may even be a group addressing it. However, efforts are not yet focused or detailed.
5. Preparation	Active leaders begin planning in earnest. The community offers modest interest in efforts.
6. Initiation	Enough information has been gathered to justify initiation of efforts. Activities are underway.
7. Stabilization	Activities are supported by administrators or community decision makers. Staff are trained and experienced. The efforts are stable.
8. Confirmation/ Expansion	Efforts are established. Community members feel comfortable using services and are supportive. Efforts may expand to related issues. Local data are regularly obtained.
9. High Level of Community Ownership	Detailed and sophisticated knowledge exists about the issue, such as prevalence, causes, and consequences. In-depth evaluation guides new directions. Model is applied to other issues.

How To Conduct A Community Readiness Assessment

Conducting a Community Readiness Assessment is the key to identifying your community's readiness by dimension and by stage. To perform a complete assessment, you will be interviewing individuals using the questions on the following pages. There are 28 questions, and each interview should take 30-60 minutes. Before you begin, please review the following guidelines:

- Identify a minimum of six individuals in your community, some who work in the field of service provision and some who do not. In some cases, it may be "politically advantageous" to interview more people. However, generally, only six interviews are needed to accurately score the community. Try to find people who represent different segments of your community. Individuals may represent:
 - Health & medical professions
 - Social services
 - Mental health & treatment services
 - Schools/Universities
 - City/county/tribal government
 - Law enforcement
 - Clergy or spiritual community
 - Community at large, elders, or specific high risk groups in your community.
 - Youth (if appropriate to do so)
- Read through the questions on the following pages and adapt as needed depending on your issue. The questions we provide here are generic and you will need to tailor the questions to your issue. When adapting questions, keep the following in mind:
 - The questions are closely tied to the scoring process, so adapting them must be done carefully so that the core meaning of the question is retained. In most cases, you can substitute your issue for "this issue." For example, if your issue is domestic violence, Question 2 would be revised to read "What efforts are present in your community to address domestic violence?"

- However, if a question is clearly irrelevant to your issue, you may need to drop the question unless it is in bold print. Those questions in bold print are essential for scoring. You may also want to add other questions that are more specific to your issue. If you want to add questions, add them to the end to avoid confusion when scoring.
 - Have two people adapt the questions independently and then meet to arrive at consensus on the adaptation.
 - If translating questions from English into another language, ask a person who is very familiar with the language and culture to translate. Then, have the translated version "back-translated" into English by another person to ensure that the original content of the questions was captured.
 - Pilot test your adapted questions to make sure they are easy to understand and that they elicit the necessary information for scoring each dimension.
- Contact the people you have identified and see if they would be willing to discuss the issue. Remember, each interview will take 30-60 minutes.
 - Conduct your interviews.
 - Avoid discussion, but ask for clarification when needed and use prompts as designated.
 - Record or write responses as they are given. Try not to add your own interpretation or try to second guess what the interviewee meant.
 - After you have conducted the interviews, follow the directions for scoring on pages 15-24.

On the following pages, you will find the questions for the six dimensions addressing "this issue" that you will need to ask for the Community Readiness Assessment.

Community Readiness Assessment Interview Questions

A. COMMUNITY EFFORTS (programs, activities, policies, etc.) AND

B. COMMUNITY KNOWLEDGE OF EFFORTS

1. Using a scale from 1-10, how much of a concern is "this issue" in your community (with 1 being "not at all" and 10 being "a very great concern")? Please explain. *(NOTE: this figure between one and ten is NOT figured into your scoring of this dimension in any way - it is only to provide a reference point.)*
2. What services or efforts are available in your community to address "this issue" prevention/treatment? (A)
3. How long have these services or efforts been in your community?
4. What are the strengths of these services? (B)
5. What are the weaknesses of these services? (B)
7. How have these services been supported by the community? (A)
8. Generally, does the community use these services? Please explain. (A)
9. Using a scale from 1-10, how aware are people in the community of the services (with 1 being "no awareness" and 10 being "very aware")? *(NOTE: this figure between one and ten is NOT figured into your scoring of this dimension in any way - it is only to provide a reference point.)* (B)
10. Please explain what the community knows of these services, such as what they provide, how to access,

C. LEADERSHIP

11. Using a scale from 1 to 10, how much of a concern is "this issue" to the leadership (with 1 being "not at all" and 10 being "of great concern")? Please explain. *(NOTE: this figure between one and ten is NOT figured into your scoring of this dimension in any way - it is only to provide a reference point.)*
12. How do the leaders in your community support current efforts? Please explain.
13. How have leaders assisted in implementing these efforts or services?
14. Would the leadership support additional efforts? Please explain.

D. COMMUNITY CLIMATE

15. What is the community's attitude about "this issue"?
16. What are the primary obstacles to obtaining services in your community?

E. KNOWLEDGE ABOUT THE ISSUE

17. How knowledgeable are community members about "this issue"? Please explain. (Prompt: For example, signs, symptoms, local statistics, etc.)
18. In your community, what type of information is available about "this issue"?
19. Is local data on "this issue" available in your community? If so, from where?
20. How do people obtain this information in your community?

F. RESOURCES FOR PREVENTION EFFORTS (time, money, people, space, etc.)

21. What is the community's attitude about supporting efforts with people volunteering time, making financial donations, and providing space?
22. Are you aware of any proposals or action plans that have been written to address this issue in your community?
23. Do you know if there is any evaluation of the efforts? If yes, on a scale of 1 to 10, how sophisticated is the evaluation effort (with 1 being "not at all" and 10 being "very sophisticated?")? *(NOTE: this figure between one and ten is NOT figured into your scoring of this dimension in any way - it is only to provide a reference point.)*

Scoring Community Readiness Interviews For A Complete Assessment

Scoring is an easy step-by-step process that provides the stage of readiness for each of the six dimensions. The following pages provide the process for scoring. There is a scoring worksheet on page 19 and anchored rating scales on pages 21-26. Ideally, two people should participate in the scoring process in order to ensure valid results on this type of qualitative data. Here are step-by-step instructions:

- Working independently of each other, both scorers should *read through each interview in its entirety before scoring any of the dimensions so that they have a general feeling and impression of the community that is derived from the interview data.* Although questions are arranged in the interview specific to each dimension, other interview sections may also provide some responses that will help the scorer to gain a richer understanding from the information. This is helpful in scoring other dimensions.
- Again, working independently, the scorers should read the anchored rating scale for the dimension being scored. Always start with the first anchored rating statement and work your way up. Go through each dimension separately and highlight or underline statements that refer to each of the anchored rating statements. If the community exceeds the first statement, proceed to the next statement. In order to receive a score at a specific stage, all previous levels must have been met up to and including the statement which the scorer believes best reflects what is stated in the interview. In other words, a community cannot be at stage 7 and not have achieved what is reflected in the statements for stages 1 through 6. Note that you do not score each answer, but score using the themes that emerge from all of the responses that relate to each specific dimension.
- On the scoring sheet on page 19, each scorer will enter his or her dimension scores in the table labeled INDIVIDUAL SCORES. Each interview will have a score for each of the six dimensions. The table provides spaces for six key respondent interviews. If more scoring tables are needed for additional interviews, simply use a new scoring table.
- When the independent scoring is complete, the two scorers will then meet to discuss the scores. The goal is to reach consensus on the scores by discussing items or statements that might have been missed by one scorer and which may affect the combined or final score assigned. Remember: Different people can have slightly different impressions, and it is important to seek explanation for

the decisions made. Once consensus is reached, fill in the table labeled CONSENSUS SCORES on one of the scoring sheets. Then simply add the scores across each row to determine a total for each dimension.

- To find the CALCULATED SCORES for each dimension, take the total for that dimension and divide it by the number of interviews. For example: If two scorers have the following combined scores for their interviews:

Interviews	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5	#6	TOTAL
Dimension A	3.5	5.0	4.25	4.75	5.5	3.75	26.75

$$\text{TOTAL Dimension A } \underline{26.75} \div \text{\# of interviews } \underline{6} = \underline{4.46}$$

Repeat for all dimensions, and then total the scores.

- To find the OVERALL STAGE OF READINESS, take the total of all calculated scores and divide by the number of dimensions (6). For example:

Dimension A: 4.46
 Dimension B: 5.67
 Dimension C: 2.54
 Dimension D: 3.29
 Dimension E: 6.43
Dimension F: 4.07

$$26.46 \qquad 26.46 \div 6 = \underline{4.41}$$

- The result will be the overall stage of readiness of the community. The scores correspond with the numbered stages and are "rounded down" rather than up. Therefore, a score between a 1.0 and a 1.99 would still fall into the first stage, a score of 2.0 to 2.99 would fall into the second and so forth. In the above example, the average 4.41 represents the fourth stage or Preplanning.
- Finally, under comments, write down any impressions about the community, any unique outcomes, and any qualifying statements that may relate to the score of your community.

Community Readiness Assessment Scoring Sheet

Scorer: _____

Date: _____

INDIVIDUAL SCORES: Record each scorer's independent results for each interview for each dimension. The table provides spaces for up to six interviews.

Interviews	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5	#6
Dimension A						
Dimension B						
Dimension C						
Dimension D						
Dimension E						
Dimension F						

CONSENSUS SCORES: For each interview, the two scorers should discuss their individual scores and then agree on a single score. This is the *COMBINED SCORE*. Record it below and repeat for each interview in each dimension. Then, *add across each row* and find the total for each dimension. Use the total to find the calculated score below.

Interviews	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5	#6	TOTAL
Dimension A							
Dimension B							
Dimension C							
Dimension D							
Dimension E							
Dimension F							

CALCULATED SCORES: Use the combined score TOTAL in the table above and divide by the number of interviews conducted. Add the calculated scores together and enter it under total.

Stage
Score

TOTAL Dimension A _____ ÷ # of interviews _____ =	=	_____
TOTAL Dimension B _____ ÷ # of interviews _____ =	=	_____
TOTAL Dimension C _____ ÷ # of interviews _____ =	=	_____
TOTAL Dimension D _____ ÷ # of interviews _____ =	=	_____
TOTAL Dimension E _____ ÷ # of interviews _____ =	=	_____
TOTAL Dimension F _____ ÷ # of interviews _____ =	=	_____

Average Overall Community Readiness Score: _____

OVERALL STAGE OF READINESS: Take the TOTAL calculated score and divide by 6 (the number of dimensions). Use the list of stages below to match the result with a stage of readiness. Remember, round down instead of up.

TOTAL Calculated Score _____ ÷ 6 = _____

Score	Stage of Readiness
1	No Awareness
2	Denial / Resistance
3	Vague Awareness
4	Preplanning
5	Preparation
6	Initiation
7	Stabilization
8	Confirmation / Expansion
9	High Level of Community Ownership

COMMENTS, IMPRESSIONS, and QUALIFYING STATEMENTS about the community:

Anchored Rating Scales For Scoring Each Dimension

Dimension A. Existing Community Efforts

-
-
-
- 1 No awareness of the need for efforts to address "this issue" in any capacity.
-
-
-
- 2 No efforts addressing "this issue".
-
-
-
- 3 A few individuals recognize the need to initiate some type of effort, but there is no immediate motivation to do anything.
-
-
-
- 4 Some community members have met and have begun a discussion of developing community efforts.
-
-
-
- 5 Efforts (programs/activities) are being planned.
-
-
-
- 6 Efforts (programs/activities) have been implemented.
-
-
-
- 7 Efforts (programs/activities) have been running for at least four years.
-
-
-
- 8 Several different programs, activities and policies are in place, covering different age groups and reaching a wide range of people. New efforts are being developed based on evaluation data.
-
-
- 9 Evaluation plans are routinely used to test effectiveness of many different efforts, and the results are being used to make changes and improvements.
-
-

Dimension B. Community Knowledge Of The Efforts

-
-
-
- 1 Community has no knowledge of the need for efforts addressing "this issue."
-
-
-
- 2 Community has no knowledge about efforts addressing "this issue".
-
-
-
- 3 A few members of the community have heard about efforts, but the extent of their knowledge is limited.
-
-
-
- 4 Some members of the community know about local efforts.
-
-
-
- 5 Members of the community have basic knowledge about local efforts (e.g., purpose).
-
-
-
- 6 An increasing number of community members have knowledge of local efforts and are trying to increase the knowledge of the general community about these efforts.
-
-
- 7 There is evidence that the community has specific knowledge of local efforts including contact persons, training of staff, clients involved, etc.
-
-
-
- 8 There is considerable community knowledge about different community efforts, as well as the level of program effectiveness.
-
-
-
- 9 Community has knowledge of program evaluation data on how well the different local efforts are working and their benefits and limitations.
-
-
-

Dimension C. Leadership (includes appointed leaders & influential community members)

-
-
-
- 1 Leadership has no recognition of "this issue".
-
-
-
- 2 Leadership believes that "this issue" is not a concern in their community.
-
-
-
- 3 Leader(s) recognize(s) the need to do something regarding "this issue".
-
-
-
- 4 Leader(s) is/are trying to get something started.
-
-
-
- 5 Leaders are part of a committee or group that addresses "this issue".
-
-
-
- 6 Leaders are active and supportive of the implementation of efforts.
-
-
-
- 7 Leaders are supportive of continuing basic efforts and are considering resources available for self-sufficiency.
-
-
-
- 8 Leaders are supportive of expanding/improving efforts through active participation in the expansion/improvement.
-
-
-
- 9 Leaders are continually reviewing evaluation results of the efforts and are modifying support accordingly.
-
-

Dimension D. Community Climate

-
-
-
- 1 The prevailing attitude is that "this issue" is not considered, unnoticed or overlooked within the community. "It's just not our concern"
-
-
- 2 The prevailing attitude is "There's nothing we can do," or "Only 'those' people do that," or "Only 'those people' have that."
-
-
- 3 Community climate is neutral, disinterested, or believes that "this issue" does not affect the community as a whole.
-
-
- 4 The attitude in the community is now beginning to reflect interest in "this issue". "We have to do something, but we don't know what to do."
-
-
- 5 The attitude in the community is "We are concerned about this," and community members are beginning to reflect modest support for efforts.
-
-
- 6 The attitude in the community is "This is our responsibility" and is now beginning to reflect modest involvement in efforts.
-
-
- 7 The majority of the community generally supports programs, activities, or policies. "We have taken responsibility."
-
-
- 8 Some community members or groups may challenge specific programs, but the community in general is strongly supportive of the need for efforts. Participation level is high. "We need to keep up on this issue and make sure what we are doing is effective."
-
- 9 All major segments of the community are highly supportive, and community members are actively involved in evaluating and improving efforts and demand accountability.
-
-

Dimension E. Community Knowledge About The Issue

-
-
-
- 1 "this issue" is not viewed as an issue that we need to know about.
-
-
-
- 2 No knowledge about "this issue".
-
-
-
- 3 A few in the community have basic knowledge of "this issue", and recognize that some people here may be affected by the issue.
-
-
-
- 4 Some community members have basic knowledge and recognize that "this issue" occurs locally, but information and/or access to information is lacking.
-
-
-
- 5 Some community members have basic knowledge of "this issue", including modes of transmission, means of prevention. General information on "this issue" is available.
-
-
-
- 6 A majority of community members have basic knowledge of "this issue", including modes of transmission, means of prevention, understanding of high-risk groups and behaviors, and that it occurs locally. There are specific local data on "this issue" available.
-
-
-
- 7 Community members have knowledge of, and access to, detailed information about local prevalence.
-
-
-
- 8 Community members have knowledge about prevalence, causes, risk factors, and related health concerns.
-
-
-
- 9 Community members have detailed information about "this issue" and related health concerns as well as information about the effectiveness of local programs.
-
-

Dimension F. Resources Related To The Issue
(people, money, time, space, etc.)

-
-
-
- 1 There is no awareness of the need for resources to deal with "this issue".
 -
 -
 -
- 2 There are no resources available for dealing with "this issue".
 -
 -
 -
- 3 The community is not sure what it would take, (or where the resources would come from), to initiate efforts.
 -
 -
 -
- 4 The community has individuals, organizations, and/or space available that could be used as resources.
 -
 -
 -
- 5 Some members of the community are looking into the available resources.
 -
 -
 -
- 6 Resources have been obtained and/or allocated for "this issue".
 -
 -
 -
- 7 A considerable part of support of on-going efforts are from local sources that are expected to provide continuous support. Community members and leaders are beginning to look at continuing efforts by accessing additional resources.
 -
 -
 -
- 8 Diversified resources and funds are secured and efforts are expected to be ongoing. There is additional support for further efforts.
 -
 -
 -
- 9 There is continuous and secure support for programs and activities, evaluation is routinely expected and completed, and there are substantial resources for trying new efforts.
 -
 -
 -

Using The Assessment To Develop Strategies

With the information you've gained about the dimensions and the overall readiness stage, you are now ready to develop strategies that will be stage appropriate for your community. This can be done in a small group or community workshop format.

The first thing to do is to provide the participants with a brief overview of the concepts of community readiness. Information can be taken from the Presentation Script provided in this manual or from the information that you have learned in using the model. Then discuss the scores with the participants and look carefully at the distribution of scores across the dimensions. Are they all about the same? Are some lower than others?

If you have one or more dimensions with lower scores than the others, focus your efforts on strategies that will increase the community's readiness on that dimension or those dimensions first. Make certain the intensity level of the intervention or strategy is consistent with, or lower than, the stage score for that dimension. **To be successful, any effort toward making change within a community must begin with strategies appropriate to its stage of readiness.**

On the next three pages, you will find a list of generic strategies appropriate for each stage of readiness. These are to be used only as a guide to assist you in developing stage appropriate strategies for your community.

Following the list of generic strategies, you will find blank forms for recording community strengths, conditions/concerns and resources, as well as samples of completed forms.

Goals And General Strategies Appropriate For Each Stage

1. No Awareness

Goal: Begin to raise awareness of the issue

- Make one-on-one visits with community leaders/members.
- Visit existing and established small groups to share information with them about local "this issue" occurrences as well as general information about "the issue".
- Make one-on-one phone calls to friends and potential supporters.
- Begin your own internet or library search of resources that can be utilized at later stages.

2. Denial / Resistance

Goal: Raise awareness that the problem or issue exists in this community

- Continue the one-on-one visits and encourage those you've talked with to support your efforts.
- Approach and engage local educational/health outreach programs to assist in the education and awareness effort by including flyers, posters, or brochures in their materials or outreach efforts.
- Begin to collect media articles that describe local statistics and available "this issue" services.
- Prepare and submit short bullets or informational "blurbs" on "this issue" early testing for church bulletins, local newsletters, club newsletters, etc.
- Present information to local related community groups.

(Note that media efforts at the lower stages must be lower intensity as well. For example, place media items in places where they are very likely to be seen by the community at large, places such as church bulletins, small club newsletters, flyers in Laundromats, grocery stores, post offices, etc.). Think creatively!!

3. Vague Awareness

Goal: Raise awareness that the community can do something

- Request to be on small group or club agendas and present information on "this issue".
- Present information at local community events and begin reaching out to unrelated community groups that have a wide and diverse targeted population.
- Post flyers, posters, and articles in visible places.

- Begin to initiate your own community health events (pot lucks, potlatches, etc.) and use those opportunities to also present information on "this issue" and testing.
- Conduct informal local surveys and interviews with community people by phone or door-to-door about attitudes and perceptions related to "this issue", "this issue" testing, etc.
- Publish newspaper editorials and human interest articles with general information and local implications.

4. Preplanning

Goal: Raise awareness with concrete ideas

- Introduce information about "this issue" through presentations and media. Focus on reducing stigma and raising general awareness.
- Visit with and engage community leaders in the cause.
- Review existing efforts in the community (curriculum, programs, activities, etc.) to determine who the target populations are and how you might interface/network with them. Consider the degree of success of the efforts.
- Conduct local focus groups to discuss "the issue" and related issues and develop some basic strategies using community/participant input.
- Increase media exposure through radio and television public service announcements.

5. Preparation

Goal: Gather existing information with which to plan more specific strategies

- Seek out local data sources about "the issue".
- Conduct more formal community surveys.
- Sponsor a community health event to kick off your efforts.
- Conduct public forums to develop strategies from the grassroots level.
- Utilize key leaders and influential people to speak to groups and participate in local radio and television shows to gain support.
- Plan how to begin basic process evaluation to track the success of your efforts.

6. Initiation

Goal: Provide community-specific information

- Conduct in-service training on Community Readiness and other related topics for professionals and paraprofessionals
- Plan publicity efforts associated with start-up of activity or efforts.
- Attend meetings of other groups to provide updates on progress of your efforts.

- Conduct consumer interviews to identify service gaps, improve existing services and identify key places to post information.
- Begin a library or Internet search for additional resources and potential funding.
- Increase your evaluation efforts.

7. Stabilization

Goal: Stabilize efforts and programs

- Plan community events to maintain support for "this issue" efforts and HIV testing.
- Conduct training for community professionals.
- Conduct training for community members, parents, elders and youth.
- Introduce your program evaluation results through training and newspaper articles.
- Conduct quarterly meetings to review progress and modify strategies.
- Hold recognition events to honor local supporters or volunteers.
- Prepare and submit newspaper articles detailing progress and future plans.
- Begin even wider networking among service providers and community systems, perhaps not specific to HIV, but related to health and wellness.

8. Confirmation / Expansion

Goal: Enhance and expand services

- Formalize the networking with qualified service agreements.
- Prepare a community risk assessment profile.
- Publish a localized program services directory to increase networking/collaborations.
- Maintain a comprehensive database available to the public.
- Develop a local speaker's bureau.
- Initiate policy change through support of local city officials.
- Conduct media outreach on specific data trends related to "this issue".
- Utilize evaluation data to modify efforts.

9. High Level of Community Ownership

Goal: Maintain momentum and continue growth

- Maintain local business community support and solicit financial support.
- Diversify funding resources.
- Continue more advanced training of professionals and paraprofessionals.
- Continue re-assessment of issue and progress made.
- Utilize external evaluation and use feedback for program modification.
- Track outcome data for use with future grant requests.

- Continue progress reports for benefit of community leaders and local sponsorship. At this level the community has ownership of the efforts and will invest themselves in maintaining the efforts.

Workshop/Presentation Script For Community Readiness Results For "this issue" Prevention & Strategy Development

The following is a script that can be used to present the Community Readiness Model and/or the community's readiness scores so that your group can develop readiness consistent "this issue" prevention strategies. It refers to slides that can be requested from the Ethnic Studies Department website, <http://www.happ.colostate.edu> or you can use the handouts included with this script. If you have attended a Community Readiness workshop, you may give audience members several handouts from the workshop you attended. In the script below, bold statements are subject headings and instructions to you. Slide names are in *bold italics*. Finally, the regular print is information for you to give to the audience.

Handouts mentioned in this script include the following:

The Purpose of the Community Readiness Model
What Does the Model Do
What the Model CAN Do
What the Model CAN'T Do
Take Home Message
Process for Using the Community Readiness Model
Who Is Interviewed
Conducting an Interview
Dimensions of Community Readiness
Stages of Community Readiness
Appropriate Strategies for Readiness Level

- I. **What is community readiness? Give a background of the community readiness model using the information below. Use the handouts or slides *Purpose of the Community Readiness Model*, *What Does the Model Do*, *What the Model CAN Do*, *What the Model CAN'T Do*, and *Take Home Message*, as appropriate to the material below.**
- A. Community Readiness is an innovative method for assessing the level of readiness of a community to develop and implement "this issue" prevention and other intervention efforts. It assesses your community's "truth", their perceptions, which are key to successful implementation of efforts.

- B. It defines 9 stages of community readiness ranging from "no awareness" of the problem to "high level of community ownership" in the response to the issue.
- C. It was developed at Colorado State University after much research and testing in communities. Its validity and reliability have been demonstrated in many communities and with many issues.
- D. It was originally developed to address community alcohol and drug abuse prevention efforts, but has also being used for intimate partner violence, child abuse, transportation issues, "this issue", head injury, cultural competence, suicide, animal control issues, and many more issues.
- E. The model identifies specific characteristics related to different levels of problem awareness and readiness for change. It is:
- a step-by-step system for developing an effective prevention strategy. It gives a clear map of the prevention/intervention journey.
 - issue-specific, community-specific, culturally specific and most important, measurable.
- F. Community readiness is culture-embracing; it encourages the development of creative cultural strategies. The methods used to implement change in community readiness are all translatable to the differing styles of communication, values, experience, networking, and policy change of the various cultures of a community. The decision as to the specific interventions used and the avenues chosen are based on the fundamental principle that curriculum, intervention or efforts chosen to initiate community change is, and should be, in the hands of the community.
- G. **What can the model do and what can't the model do? Use the two slides *What the Model CAN Do* and *What the Model CAN'T Do*.**

The model can:

- Help identify resources
- Help identify obstacles
- Provide an assessment of how ready the community is with respect to accepting a given issue as something that needs doing, i.e., their "truth"
- Identify types of efforts that are appropriate to initiate, depending on the stage of readiness
- Help build cooperation among systems and individuals

The model cannot:

- Make people do what they don't believe in
- Tell you exactly what you should do to accomplish your objectives

SUMMARIZE THIS SECTION WITH "WHY USE COMMUNITY READINESS?"
Use the slide *Take Home Message*.

In order to stand a chance of success, interventions introduced in a community must be consistent with the awareness of the problem and the level of readiness for change accepted by residents of that community. Strategies of intervention must be appropriate for the community's stage of readiness!

II. Why your community chose to use this model.

Explain why your community decided to use this model. For example, did you want to develop a program that had local control and used local resources, were you particularly concerned about finding a model for intervention that was consistent with your community's cultural values. There may be a number of reasons for choosing to use the Community Readiness Model. Explain these reasons to your audience to guide the rest of the discussion.

III. A brief description of the community readiness model.

A. Show the slide *Process for Using the Community Readiness Model*, and briefly run through the steps. Let the participants know that you will be giving more details of some of these steps in just a few minutes.

The process for using the model:

1. Identify the issue, e.g. "this issue" prevention.
2. Define "community", e.g. it can be more than just a geographical community but can be any subgroup of a geographical community, an organization, an occupation group such as law enforcement, health professionals, etc.
3. Conduct "key respondent" interviews.
4. Score the interviews to determine the readiness level.
5. Develop the strategies for your issue and conduct workshops.
6. Community change!

B. What is a key respondent and what are the key respondent interviews?
Use the slides *Who is Interviewed* and *Conducting an Interview*.

- Key respondents are individuals who are knowledgeable about the community, but not necessarily a leader or decision-maker. They are involved in community affairs and know what is going on. By using a cross section of individuals, a more complete and accurate measure of the level of readiness for this issue in the community can be obtained - remember to avoid using only those professionals involved in the issue because their readiness level will be higher than the community at large and the

community at large is generally the group that you want to engage in creating community investment and change.

- Who is chosen will depend on the issue. Examples of key respondents:

- School personnel

- Law enforcement

- City/county/tribal government and leaders

- Health/medical representatives

- Social services

- Clergy or other spiritual/religious leaders

- Mental health and treatment services

- Community members at large

- Youth and/or elders

- What does a key respondent interview involve?

- There are approximately 35-40 questions that are adapted to the community and the issue being addressed.
- 6 key respondents are interviewed for about 30 - 60 minutes.
- The questions asked provide information about 6 dimensions of the community readiness for the targeted issue.
- Interviewers transcribe the interviewee responses as accurately as possible, avoiding discussion and only clarifying when necessary.

C. The six dimensions of community readiness. Use the slide *Dimensions of Community Readiness* to quickly give the audience a quick overview of the six dimensions.

Community readiness is multi-dimensional - six dimensions. A community can be at somewhat different stages on different dimensions, this is where the diagnostic aspect is determined. All dimensions are used to obtain a final community readiness score for the particular issue being addressed. However, the individual dimensions are more telling when making the decision where and how to develop your strategies.

Use the slides *The Dimensions of Community Readiness* and select some of the examples below to describe the kinds of questions that are asked to assess or measure these dimensions during the key respondent interviews.

1. Community Efforts - programs, activities, policies, etc.
and
2. Community Knowledge of Efforts

Using a scale from 1-10, how much of a concern is this issue in your community, with one being not at all and ten being a very large concern? Please explain.

What efforts are currently available in your community that relate to this issue?

Using a scale from 1 to 10, how aware are people in the community of these efforts, with one being no awareness and ten being very aware? Please explain.

3. Leadership (includes appointed leaders and influential community members)

Who are leaders specific to this issue in your community?

Using a scale from 1 to 10, how much of a concern is this issue to the leadership in your community, with one being not at all and ten being a very large concern? Please explain.

4. Community Climate

Describe your community.

What is the community's attitude about this issue?

5. Knowledge About the Issue

How knowledgeable are community members about this issue. Please explain.

What type of local data on this issue is available in your community?

6. Resources for Prevention Efforts (time, money, people, space, etc.)

Whom would an individual affected by this issue turn to first for help and why?

D. Scoring of interviews to determine readiness level.

Interviews are scored one at a time by at least 2 scorers following specific instructions and guidelines given to the scorers. Based upon statements and references in the interviews that refer to specific dimensions, for each interview each dimension receives a score from 1-9 according to a scale for that particular dimension. The scorers then sit together and agree on the scores of each dimension for each interview. Scores are then averaged across interviews for each dimension, and the final score is the average

across the 6 dimensions. This final score gives the specific stage of readiness for this issue in your community.

- E. **Stages of readiness.** Show slide *Stages of Community Readiness* that has a graphic image of the stages. Remind the audience that one stage is not necessarily better than another; rather the point of identifying stages is to direct the development of appropriate strategies.

Then show the slide entitled *Stages of Community Readiness which has the stages of readiness briefly explained*. Refer your audience to the handout that has further details about the stages of community readiness.

- No Awareness- No identification of the issue as a problem. "It's just the way things are." Community climate may unknowingly encourage the behavior although the behavior may be expected of one group and not another (i.e., by gender, race, social class, age, etc.)
- Denial- Recognition of the issue as a problem, but no ownership of it as a local problem. If there is some idea that it is a local problem, there is a feeling that nothing needs to be done about it locally. "It's not our problem." "It's just those people who do that." "We can't do anything about it."
- Vague Awareness- Beginning of recognition that it is a local problem, but no motivation to do anything about it. Ideas about why the problem occurs and who has the problem tend to be stereotyped and/or vague. No identifiable leadership exists or leadership lacks energy or motivation for dealing with this problem.
- Preplanning- Clear recognition of the issue as a problem that needs to be addressed. Discussion is beginning, but no real action planning is taking place as yet. Community climate is beginning to acknowledge the necessity of dealing with the problem.
- Preparation- Plans about how to address the issue is underway and decisions are being made on what to be done and who will do it. There is general information about local problems and about the pros and cons of prevention activities, actions, or policies, but the information may not be based on formally collected data.

- Initiation- An activity or action has been started and is ongoing, but it is still viewed as a new effort. There may be great enthusiasm among the leaders because limitations and problems have not yet been experienced. There is often a modest involvement of community members in the efforts.
- Stabilization- One or two efforts or activities are underway and stable. Staff are trained and experienced, and there is evaluation data related to program effectiveness. There is little perceived need for change or expansion but more focus on maintaining the progress made. Community climate generally supports what is occurring.
- Confirmation/Expansion- Standard efforts are in place and leaders support improving the efforts. Original efforts have been evaluated and modified. Resources for new efforts are being identified, and modified and new efforts are being planned or tried in order to reach more people. Data are regularly obtained on extent of local problems, and efforts are made to assess risk factors and causes of the problem. There may be efforts to expand services based on evaluation data collected.
- High Level of Community Ownership- Detailed and sophisticated knowledge about the issue exists within the community. Community members want to know what's going on and feel ownership and involvement. Highly trained staff are managing programs or activities, leaders are supportive, and community involvement is high. Special efforts are targeted at specific populations as well as more general efforts for the whole community. Effective evaluation is routinely used to test and modify efforts and this evaluation information is provided back to the community on a regular basis through newspaper articles, media, etc.

F. Strategies

Once a community knows its level of readiness in dealing with a specific issue, it can then develop strategies for prevention/intervention. The model offers suggestions for readiness appropriate strategies for each stage of readiness. These strategies are not specific answers; they are general statements or examples of approaches that may be effective. Specific answers must come from the community itself but should be consistent with the types of actions contained within a specific stage.

It isn't necessary to go through all 9 stages, but permissible to show only a few examples. You can also direct them to the handout with these strategies on it.

IV. Discussion about your community's level of readiness.

- A. Ask the audience what stage they believe the community falls into for "this issue" prevention. Have participants briefly explain their answer. Allow participants to have a brief discussion about their opinions.
- B. Present the readiness scores for your community (you can write the number on the slide *Our Community's Readiness Score*). Remind participants what that readiness score means. For example, if your community scores a "3", describe the Vague Awareness stage of readiness. You can show the overhead that describes this stage of readiness (from the *"Stages of Readiness"* slides).
- C. Allow for a brief discussion of this readiness score and answer any questions from the participants. If people take issue with the score, simply explain that differing viewpoint provide the richness in the strategy development and this score reflects the perceptions of those who were interviewed. However, avoid discussion of strategies at this time; you can let the audience know that you will soon move on to strategies.
- D. Move to the strategies for that particular readiness score. Show a slide of your community's stage of readiness, the goal of this stage of readiness, and the general types of strategies that are appropriate for this stage of readiness (from *"Appropriate Strategies for Readiness Level _____"*).
- E. Have a discussion about the Next Steps that the group should take.
- F. If the group wants to develop an action plan consistent with the stages their community falls into, use instructions that follow this section.

Workshop Presentation Slides

Why Use Community Readiness?

Community Readiness is a step by step process that provides communities or organizations with their stages of readiness for prevention or intervention so that they may develop strategies that are more cost effective and resource rich and therefore, potentially more successful!!

1

What exactly IS the Community Readiness Model (CRM)

- ✂ An easy to use model for community mobilization and change
- ✂ It has nine stages of readiness
- ✂ It measures six dimensions (or aspects) of a community
- ✂ Each dimension has a stage of readiness associated with it
- ✂ Each readiness stage has specific interventions that work most effectively for that stage
- ✂ It integrates culture into the prevention process

Trying to implement something that a community is not ready to do can be costly in both human and financial resources, i.e., a waste of time and money

2

What Does the Model Do?

- ✂ Facilitates community based change
- ✂ Uses a nine stage multi-dimensional model
- ✂ Supports the development of interventions that are community specific and culturally specific
- ✂ Provides an easy to follow road map for the HIV/AIDS prevention journey
- ✂ Builds cooperation among systems and individuals

3

What the Model Can Do

- ✂ Help identify resources and strengths
- ✂ Help identify obstacles
- ✂ Provide an assessment of the level of readiness of the community with respect to acceptance of an issue as something that needs to be addressed
- ✂ Support community engagement of an intervention as something that could be implemented
- ✂ Identifies types of efforts that are appropriate to initiate, consistent with the stage of readiness

4

What the Model Can't Do

- ✂ Make people do what they don't believe in
- ✂ It won't tell you exactly what you should do to accomplish your objectives but rather suggest the types of actions appropriate for your readiness level

5

Key Take Home Message

WE BELIEVE THAT INTERVENTIONS
THAT ARE INITIATED
MUST BE APPROPRIATE FOR THE
COMMUNITY'S STAGE OF READINESS
AND THE
CULTURE OF THE COMMUNITY!

6

Overview of the Process For Using The Community Readiness Model



7

Who Is Interviewed?

For HIV or other Health Issues:

- ✂ Tribal administration
- ✂ Substance Abuse Treatment Agencies
- ✂ Tribal/City/County/State government and or agencies
- ✂ Health/medical professionals
- ✂ Community members at large
- ✂ Social services
- ✂ Spiritual/religious community
- ✂ School personnel
- ✂ HIV/AIDS focused agencies
- ✂ Mental health and treatment services

8

Conducting Community Readiness Interviews

- ✂ There are 35 questions; interviews can last 30-60 minutes
- ✂ Understand the purpose, the issue, and how results will be used
- ✂ Use the telephone or do face-to-face interviewing; avoid allowing people to write the answers out
- ✂ Ask the questions exactly as they are written; avoid interjecting personal bias, personal responses or opinions
- ✂ Record all responses as accurately as possible, including non-verbal cues
- ✂ There are no right or wrong answers; no good or bad interview – all comments or lack thereof, provide essential information!

9

Dimensions Of Community Readiness

- ✂ A. Community Efforts (Programs, activities, policies, etc.)
- ✂ B. Community Knowledge of the Efforts
- ✂ C. Leadership (includes appointed leaders and informal but influential community members)
- ✂ D. Community Climate
- ✂ E. Community Knowledge About Issue
- ✂ F. Resources for Prevention Efforts (people, time, money, space, etc.)

10



11

Appropriate Strategies for Readiness Level

12

1 - No Awareness

Goal: Raise awareness of HIV/AIDS

Strategies...

- ✂ Identify potential supporters and begin discussions
- ✂ Visit with people, one on one, in person or on the phone
- ✂ Present at existing and established small groups
- ✂ Use the "Indian Grapevine" to raise interest
- ✂ Search for online resources (posters, educational information) that can be duplicated or ordered
- ✂ Make phone calls and send emails to friends - inform others, get them excited and solicit their support – be creative!

13

2 - Denial / Resistance

Goal: The issue does exist in this community

Strategies...

- ✂ You can always continue strategies from the previous stage
- ✂ Meet with people who are most likely to provide HIV/AIDS related services (medical, substance abuse, etc) even though they may not be addressing HIV or AIDS specifically
- ✂ Distribute creative and eye catching flyers, brochures, educational information (must be brief and concise) in places where people frequent
- ✂ Use "low level" media, i.e., information placed in church bulletins, tribal newsletters, restaurant menus, etc. Choose places where they are most likely to be seen and be creative about placement of information
- ✂ Remember that media must be low intensity but visible

14

3 - Vague Awareness

Goal: Community can make positive changes

Strategies...

- ✂ You can always continue to use strategies from previous stages
- ✂ Consider co-sponsoring a booth at a special event: potlucks, dances, health fairs, tribal "holidays", etc. to distribute your information
- ✂ Identify potential local data sources, programs, and efforts in an effort to gather pertinent information
- ✂ Focus on topics that the community may be more "ready" to address that relate to potential HIV infection – such as underage drinking, meth use, STDs, teen pregnancies or substance use
- ✂ Write newspaper editorials/articles and/or use creative media consistent with community visibility - things that will be noticed

15

4 - Preplanning

Goal: Develop concrete strategies

Strategies...

- ✂ Establish a small "idea" group focused on HIV/AIDS prevention
- ✂ Share local data and information that you have gathered with other key community people who might support your cause
- ✂ Use media for newspaper articles/ads /posters
- ✂ Conduct informal brief surveys about what people know about HIV/AIDS resources and needs, have they been tested, would they be tested, etc.?
- ✂ Identify "Best Practices", effective curricula, DEBIs, and other programs which may be appropriate for Native audiences through library and internet searches

16

5 - Preparation

Goal: Gather pertinent information

Strategies...

- ✂ Remember you can always continue strategies from previous stages
- ✂ Organize and present local statistics that you have gathered; information about local efforts; and survey information from the community through tribal newsletter, local newspaper, radio, cable television, dinners, etc. (Compile the facts: local statistics, local stories, emotional cost to the community, consequences to the community, future impact on the community, financial cost to the community)
- ✂ Begin searching for potential funding for prevention and useful resources for HIV education and testing through tribal, state, federal funding as well as foundations, etc.)
- ✂ HIV/AIDS basic information can be presented to tribal councils, department staff, county work – NETWORK, NETWORK, NETWORK!!!

17

6 - Initiation

Goal: Provide community specific information

Strategies...

- ✂ If local data sources are non-existent or unreliable, plan how to begin a more accurate local data collection effort
- ✂ Inform other community providers and leaders about your efforts through multi-disciplinary meetings, tribal council presentations, in-service trainings, etc.
- ✂ Network with existing resources to enhance your referral system
- ✂ Sponsor or co-sponsor larger community events
- ✂ Plan publicity efforts associated with start up of any new activity, program, or effort related to HIV or AIDS.
- ✂ Begin discussions about using basic evaluation efforts

18

7 - Stabilization

Goal: Stabilize efforts or establish programs

Strategies...

- ✚ Remember again, always continue strategies from previous stage
- ✚ Plan community events that help to maintain support for HIV/AIDS efforts and HIV testing
- ✚ Introduce evaluation results through multiple media sources
- ✚ Review efforts/activity progress on a quarterly basis with those who have supported the cause
- ✚ Maintain business and other support for the effort/activity
- ✚ Increase and further develop media exposure to reach the community, use evaluation data in a creative and informative way

19

8 – Confirmation And Expansion

Goal: Expand and enhance services

Strategies...

- ✚ Formalize networking with MOCs, MOUs, MOAs or other appropriate collaborative agreement
- ✚ Publish a localized resource directory (HIV, health, etc.) online
- ✚ Expand community awareness through: speakers bureaus, prevention events, media, etc.
- ✚ Continue to maintain and report trends gleaned from your data base and the local data bases
- ✚ Continue to survey consumers and solicit public opinion and support
- ✚ Utilize evaluation information to improve efforts and provide feedback to community and other professionals

20

9 - High Level Of Community Ownership

Goal: Maintain momentum, grow and use what's learned

Strategies...

- ✚ Keep your community informed about and involved in your efforts through letters, emails, phone calls, and meeting reports
- ✚ Diversify funding resources and identify new sources
- ✚ Maintain and expand local business and community support
- ✚ Continue to track evaluation data trends for inclusion in funding applications that will help to expand the program
- ✚ Continue to work on related issues

21

Our Community's Readiness Score

- ✚ A. Community Efforts =
- ✚ B. Community Knowledge of the Efforts =
- ✚ C. Leadership =
- ✚ D. Community Climate =
- ✚ E. Community Knowledge About Issue =
- ✚ F. Resources for Prevention Efforts =

22

Brainstorming An Action Plan

Use Brainstorming to develop strategies

- Allow the team to "brainstorm" as many ideas as possible. Point out that during this next few minutes, there will be no in-depth discussion but just random ideas thrown out quickly. If someone begins what could be a lengthy discussion, tell the group you will hold up two fingers to signal them to hold that thought until the discussion time later and move on.
- Consider all suggestions and be creative, there are no right or wrong answers.
- Use a flip chart to write down all ideas.
- Get creative and even outlandish, consider all ideas.
- Never brainstorm on one topic for more than two minutes, remember you're going for quantity of ideas at this point, not quality.

What is Brainstorming?

Brainstorming is a quick and fast approach to developing creative ideas - it allows participation from all - it works within a specific set time limit and it allows no time for discussion of ideas - that comes later.

Easy Steps for Brainstorming:

- Step One:** Describe brainstorming and set up the rules, the two finger signal, and the time limit.
- Step Two:** Do a test run with a simple question, i.e. What are your "comfort foods", the foods that make you feel good and reduce your stress? Don't tell me why, just shout them out.
- Step Three:** Identify the issue, i.e. prevention of "this issue", need for raising awareness of early testing, or whatever your issue is, etc. but deal with only one topic at a time.
- Step Four:** First, write Strengths on the top of a flip chart page. Tell the participants they have two minutes to brainstorm ideas about strengths, then ask "What strengths do we have in this community to prevent "this issue"" or "What strengths do we have already in place to raise awareness of "this issue""? Move fast and write down all the things that people throw out. This must move as quickly as the issue of comfort foods. Tape the completed sheet(s) up so that all can see it. Let the participants know that they may add to it if they choose, during breaks or as they think of additional things.

Step Five: After two minutes, go on to the next topic and write Conditions/Concerns on the top of the flip chart. Tell the participants once more that they have two minutes, then ask them to "Identify the current conditions that exist in the community, their concerns, or barriers, i.e. what might stop us from reaching our goals?". Conclude at two minutes and tape the sheet up on the wall.

Step Six: Then move on to Resources. These differ from strengths in that they are tangible things that are already established or in place. Some of these may be the same as strengths, but that's okay. Remind the participants once more of the two minutes rule, title your flip chart page, then ask "What are our resources, i.e. what do we already have in place that we can draw from to reach our goal?". Conclude in two minutes and tape the sheet alongside the others. You now have several sheets of really good ideas that were developed in less than ten minutes.

Step Seven: Here's where the discussion comes in, but still keep a time limit (whatever you decide is appropriate) and keep the group focused. Look at the readiness scores one more time and set the priorities (dimensions with lowest readiness scores). Look at the types/intensity of strategies used at the stage in which you scored. Then ask the group "Knowing that our readiness score for this dimension is _____, and using the strengths and resources, what strategies can we use to best meet our conditions/concerns?" Allow the group to formulate some specific strategies that can be completed in reasonable steps.

Step Eight: Create an "Action Plan or Action Strategies" (see examples) and list each strategy, then identify specific action steps in reaching the strategy.

Tips for successful and focused strategy development for your community:

1. Reach consensus about which dimensions are the greatest priority based on readiness scores. Identify the dimensions you want to focus on short term, then long term.
2. Break the participants into groups of three to five each allowing them to group themselves in respect with which dimension they want to work with (each group will take one or two dimensions that they will work specifically with).

3. Have each group review the types of strategies that are used at that level of readiness consistent with the dimension they are focusing on.

4. Develop three detailed strategies for each dimension of focus.

For each strategy developed, identify what is to be done, who should do it (agency, person, etc.), by when, and where or how it should be done. It is also helpful to identify three activity steps toward achieving the strategy.

Step Nine: At the next meeting, get the update on tasks completed and tasks outstanding. If necessary, do more brainstorming to overcome any obstacles that might arise.

- EXAMPLE -

Record of Community Strengths, Conditions/Concerns, and Resources

Community Name: **Anywhere, USA**

Date of Workshop: **5/1/2005**

Staff Name(s):

Overall Readiness Score and Stage: **4, Preplanning**

<u>Strengths</u>	<u>Conditions/Concerns</u>	<u>Resources</u>
Community pride Caring for one another Strong family units Religious / spiritual support Education Strong work ethic Cultural heritage Low crime / safe community Honesty (painfully so) Low cost of living Lake resources Recreation (baseball, track, golf) Tribal support	Negative attitude Stigma Powerful and inaccurate gossip Self righteousness School involvement is low Tough to challenge Lack of program buy-in from general community Low socioeconomic status Lack of youth input Large minority population that is ignored by the state Few programs available locally No confidentiality Everyone knows everyone	School Church Community and civic groups Spiritual leaders Good healthcare and clinic Volunteer EMS Lake School activities and clubs Family Neighbors Finances Health fairs Sports opportunities Strong political connections Local newspaper that is supportive Local radio station

EXAMPLE

Record of Community Interventions and Strategies: Action Plan

Community Name: _____ Date of Workshop: _____

Staff Name(s): _____

Overall Readiness Score and Stage: _____

Intervention / Strategies

1.)	Who's Responsible:
	Target Date for Completion:
	Date of Completion:
2.)	Who's Responsible:
	Target Date for Completion:
	Date of Completion:
3.)	Who's Responsible:
	Target Date for Completion:
	Date of Completion:
4.)	Who's Responsible:
	Target Date for Completion:
	Date of Completion:
5.)	Who's Responsible:
	Target Date for Completion:
	Date of Completion:

Record of Community Interventions and Strategies: Action Plan

Community Name: **Anywhere USA**

Date of Workshop: **7/31/2006**

Staff Name(s):

Overall Readiness Score and Stage: **4, Preplanning**

Intervention / Strategies

<p>1.) Educational / Presentations to Adult Groups</p> <p>What: Information Dissemination When: 1st parent-teacher conference for ½ hour; Health Fair Where: During Middle school and High school conferences How: Table with information on STDs, HIV, and HIV testing</p>	<p>Who's Responsible: Prevention Specialist, Regional Community Health Representative (CHR) (to provide the information) and PTA president (to coordinate with Healthy Communities, Healthy Youth Coalitions)</p> <p>Target Date for Completion: Early November</p> <p>Date of Completion:</p>
<p>2.) Increase Awareness of HIV Information and Effort</p> <p>What: Pow Wow When: September Where: Pow Wow grounds How: 1.) Booth with HIV testing information, condoms, general information on STDs, TB, etc. 2.) Get MC to announce booth every ½ hour 3.) Advertise on radio show 4.) Hold honor dance for healthy youth</p>	<p>Who's Responsible: Prevention Specialist (Regional Prevention Specialist to help if Prevention Specialist is not available), youth, elder, CHR</p> <p>Target Date for Completion: September</p> <p>Date of Completion:</p>
<p>3.) Information Dissemination</p> <p>What: General information about "this issue", TB, STDs, and Hepatitis C Where: clinics, dental offices, social services, restaurants, theaters, etc. How: Leave information, posters and thank you letters for displaying the information</p>	<p>Who's Responsible: Prevention Specialist (to provide information to disseminate)</p> <p>Target Date for Completion: November 15th</p> <p>Date of Completion:</p>

4.) Community School-Based Activities to the General Community

When: - Announcements to the local newspaper will be published 2 times prior to every pertinent event

- Public Service Announcements on HIV awareness and testing will be made every week

How: Announcements prior to the event shall be made by:

- Local newspaper
- PSA's on TV / radio
- Factoids will be provided monthly

Who's Responsible:

- Prevention Specialist, Pastor, youth and elder

Target Date for Completion: Thanksgiving Day

Date of Completion:

Important Points About Using the Model

Keep in mind that **dimension scores provide the essence of the community diagnostic**, which is an important tool for strategizing. If your Community Readiness Assessment scores reveal that readiness in one dimension is much lower than readiness in others, you will need to focus your efforts on improving readiness in the lower dimension. For instance, if the community seems to have resources to support efforts but lack committed leadership to harness those resources, strategies might include one-on-one contacts with key leaders to obtain their support.

As another example, if a community has a moderate level of existing efforts but very little community knowledge of those efforts, one strategy may be to increase public awareness of those efforts through personal contacts and carefully chosen media consistent with the readiness stage.

Remember:

"Best practices" are only best for your community if they are congruent with your stage of readiness and are culturally appropriate for your community.

Note On Doing A Brief Assessment

Although it is preferable to do a complete assessment, sometimes there is insufficient time or resources, but still it is critical to develop an understanding of where your "community" falls on each dimension before making plans for efforts.

When there is a group of people representative of the community, such as a coalition or task force, the assessment can be done in the group with discussion targeted toward building consensus for scoring for each dimension.

For such an assessment, one person should serve as facilitator. Each participant should have a copy of the anchored rating scales for each dimension.

The facilitator should start with the first dimension and read the questions under that dimension. The facilitator should then ask the group to refer to the anchored rating scale for that dimension and using their responses to the questions asked, look at the first statement and see if they feel they can confidently say that their community meets and goes beyond the first statement.

The facilitator should then lead the group through the statements until one is reached that even just one member cannot agree that the community has attained that level. **Everyone's input is important.** Don't try and talk someone out of their opinion - they may represent a different constituency than other group members. A score between the previous statement where there was consensus and the one where consensus cannot be attained should be assigned for that dimension. You may assign scores in intervals of .25 or even less to accurately reflect a score on which consensus can be attained.

Remember, it is the dimension scores which provide the community diagnostic to serve as the "guide" - showing you where efforts need to be expended before attempting advancement to strategies for the next stage.

How Other Communities Have Used The Model For Other Issues

The following case studies demonstrate successful applications of the Community Readiness Model since 1995. We present them first by issue, then by other applications. These examples highlight the versatility of the model in addressing a wide variety of issues in different contexts.

- HIV/AIDS: It was about five years ago that one member of a Native community decided to address the issue of HIV/AIDS in this community. In order to better set strategies, the tribe decided to have CA7AE conduct the Community Readiness Model (CRM). They felt this would help in determining the community's level of readiness to address HIV/AIDS. There were concerns about the community's response to any mention of HIV/AIDS. However, these concerns did not hinder the person from proceeding with the assessment. It was acknowledged that community members were at high risk due to the prevalence of alcohol and methamphetamine abuse in the community so there was really no time to waste. The readiness assessment was completed and a meeting was set to develop an action plan for the community. There was concern that no one would attend but four people came and apparently they were the right four people. During this meeting the group developed an action plan based on the readiness scores. The two dimensions they identified as priorities were community efforts and knowledge of HIV/AIDS in the community. The primary objective for addressing efforts was to first obtain a non-profit status so they could one day apply for funding to offer testing and provide treatment for their Native Community. Using the plan, they were able to collaborate with other tribal programs that focused on substance abuse, establish a partnership which assisted them in applying for the 501-C3 status and obtaining this status. The objective of increasing the community knowledge of HIV/AIDS was accomplished by focusing on reducing the stigma the community had about HIV/AIDS. Their first step was to hold a local event for the first annual National Native HIV/AIDS Awareness day. They were able to get youth involved which brought in parents. They established a one day health event to introduce the community to HIV testing and shared how important and necessary confidentiality is to testing within a small community. They were able to get Native community leaders involved which set precedence for the community. They disseminated the assessment, scores and action plan to all departments within the tribe, informing them of the outcome. This too brought in Native community members. They had a large event for the first National

Native HIV/AIDS Awareness Day and drew support from his Native Community and finally they obtained their non-profit status and were awarded a grant from a national funding source.

- HIV/AIDS: This Native community conducted the Community Readiness Model (CRM) and based on their readiness scores they decided to implement an action plan to address: increasing the support and involvement of the leadership and the increasing the community members knowledge of HIV/AIDS. The CRM interviews were conducted and scored by CA7AE staff. The tribal group held a community meeting in which a variety of service providers and leaders discussed the issues and developed an action plan. At the action planning meeting members of the community had excellent ideas in addressing the leadership dimension. They prioritized and began looking at strategies at the denial and vague awareness level of readiness. Based on these levels, the group developed strategies that included meeting with the elected and non elected leaders on a one to one basis. They developed brief key information points that they wanted the leaders to know and developed a schedule of who would visit each leader and what would be discussed so that all the leaders received the same information. The main issues they discussed with the leaders were: current HIV/AIDS data from the area, what services are available, how their involvement is critical, how they could become involved, and the need for cultural HIV/AIDS material. Each leader was invited to attend a meeting on developing plans for the tribe. At the meeting, a total of thirty individuals were present, twenty one were leaders within the tribe. At this meeting they began identifying the needs of their community and addressing the steps needed to inform the community members about prevention and testing of HIV/AIDS. They also assigned a committee to develop local educational material that was disseminated throughout the community. A community testing day was announced and over fifteen tribal leaders were present to be tested. This Native community has continued to increase the knowledge of their members, to involve the leadership in all HIV/AIDS efforts, increased their HIV testing numbers and the community has supported various HIV/AIDS prevention efforts and the National Native HIV/AIDS Awareness Day. For the dimension of knowledge of HIV/AIDS the action plan focused on decreasing stigma and educating the community. The plan included having group members compose and submit weekly blurbs in the local newspaper on HIV/AIDS. A media committee was developed to outline the topics for each article. The plan included the development of an HIV 101 presentation. This was to be presented at three community or professional meetings per month. It would also be presented to the Council on a yearly basis. They contacted a local radio station and interviewed some of their staff and

other individuals impacted by HIV/AIDS. The implementation of this plan is ongoing. They have conducted post readiness interviews at 18 months following the implementation of the interventions and the Community Readiness scores increased on all six dimensions. They continue to reach goals they have set and are modifying and adding new ones.

- Drug Abuse: Over 150 rural and ethnic communities have used the model to develop prevention strategies appropriate to their cultures and community values. For example, early in the development of the model, our team was asked to train community groups in addressing solvent abuse on Native reserves in Canada. As a result of this training, solvent action teams were developed for each of the provinces in Canada and remain an ongoing part of Canada's response to substance use.
- Alcohol Abuse: In a small community where there was extensive alcohol abuse among adults and youth, one woman utilized the model to develop community support to reduce public alcohol use and violence related to alcohol abuse. After four years of efforts by the woman and others who joined her, over one-fourth of the adults in the community had entered treatment. Further, community members voted into law a prohibition against any chronic alcohol abusers having positions of authority in the community.
- Intimate Partner Violence: One community in a southern state had significant problems with intimate partner violence, but the problems were not being addressed by law enforcement or any other agency in a constructive manner. Two women used the model to mobilize the community to actively address the issue. A direct result of their efforts was the election of a chief law enforcement official who was more supportive than the previous official of domestic violence intervention, and who created a domestic violence advocate position within the department. The local newspaper also began publishing the names of domestic violence offenders and resources available for victims and perpetrators. The community now has an annual domestic violence conference. It took this grassroots group two years to move the readiness of this community from resistance to preparation. The community is now at a stabilization stage and continues to move forward.
- Child Abuse: A national children's group used the model for development of cultural competency within the organization. They subsequently recommended the model to their regional child advocacy centers for addressing child abuse.

These regional centers then shared the model with community-level advocacy centers.

- Head Injury: A research project aimed at reducing head injuries from farming and recreational pursuits in rural Colorado communities used the model to identify readiness level and to target interventions appropriately. Over a one-year period, all participating communities saw increased awareness and overall levels of readiness.
- Environmental Trauma: A western Native American tribe experienced widespread health problems and fatalities because of radiation contamination of tribal lands from atomic-bomb testing. Seventeen-year-old girls were being diagnosed with breast cancer, many of the tribe's medicinal plants and animals had disappeared, and the community was immobilized by grief. As a result of efforts following community readiness training, community members were able to develop strategies to move forward, including sending mobile mammogram vans to high schools for early detection, distributing pamphlets of early symptoms of cancer, beginning efforts to get the groundwater cleaned, and finding other ways to replace the traditional plants and animals on the reservation. These efforts were written up in a national magazine article.
- Transportation Issues: A national transportation group utilized the model to develop plans for building highways and bridges on tribal lands. As another example, the Community Readiness team worked with transportation engineers and planning staff of a Western city to help reduce the amount of traffic on streets.
- Cultural Competency: This example describes a unique application of the model, because it was the first time that it was applied within an organization. The "community" was defined as the Executive Board, administrative staff, provider staff, and consumers of the organization, and the goal was to make the organization more culturally competent. The administration realized that cultural competency can be a very emotionally sensitive topic, and they believed that the model gave them the structure to proceed in a respectful and stage-appropriate manner. Using the model, they developed many creative and stage-appropriate strategies to improve the level of cultural competency within their organization. They highly recommend that other agencies use the model for similar projects.
- Environmental and Weather Conditions: Foresters, climatologists, and environmental consultants are applying the model to a variety of environmental

issues. For example, a climatologist is proposing to use the model to help communities cope with the effects of major heat waves on health, particularly among the elderly.

- Animal Control Issues: A group in Georgia was funded by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention to use the Community Readiness Model to reduce injuries from dog bites. They are using the model to develop community support for animal control and devise strategies that are compatible with the culture of their community.
- Suicide: After hearing about the model at a conference, a Native woman came to the Center seeking help. In her village of 600 people, there had been 18 suicides in the previous six months. She requested that the team go to her community and help them to use the Community Readiness Model. The staff were expecting no more than 15-20 people from the village to attend, but were very moved when they were greeted by almost 100 Native people, young and old, from six different villages. Many people had overcome great challenges to come to the meeting.

Initially, community members spoke of their grief and helplessness because of the pain of their losses. The model was presented, and participants divided into village groups. Each group used the model to assess their village's stage of readiness and to identify their strengths and resources. An outsider might think that these small villages had very little in the way of resources (no clinics, shelters, etc.). But the village groups recognized many resources - human resources to cultural resources. They later talked about how grateful they were to rediscover those strengths because they had forgotten them in their grief, or because they hadn't really recognized them as strengths.

Community members offered their time, their creativity, and their knowledge of the culture. The youth formed their own group to develop strategies to offer support to friends in school. At the conclusion, each village summarized the strategies that they had developed. Finally, the entire group formed a circle and again, using the model, worked together to brainstorm an action plan to maintain inter-village communication and support.

They indicated that for the first time in a long time, the communities felt hope and empowerment. The group was so motivated that they were able to move from a lower to a higher stage of readiness in only two days.

The villages continue to work toward their goals, and their strategies have been remarkably successful. From having experienced 18 suicides in a six-month

period before the training, *they did not lose a single person to suicide* in the three years following the training and the suicide rate has continued to be very low.

Ways The Community Readiness Model Can Be Used

- Program Evaluation: The evaluation of multi-component, community-wide efforts can be challenging because it is difficult to measure complex change over time. The Community Readiness Assessment offers an easy-to-use tool that can help assess the overall effectiveness of efforts. It can give insight into key outcomes (such as shifts in community norms, support of local leadership, etc.) in ways that traditional evaluation methods may not bring to light.

Numerous programs have utilized the Community Readiness Assessment for evaluation of community-wide efforts. As an example, a project involving ten counties in Oklahoma developed a planning program to improve services to Native American children with serious emotional disturbances and their families. The Community Readiness Assessment offered not only an accurate way to measure readiness before and after program implementation, but also essential qualitative data to help guide program development. Based on information from the baseline Community Readiness Assessment, community members were able to identify strengths and resources and to gain public support. Another assessment conducted two years later showed that all counties had moved ahead in their stages of readiness. The community support for this project continues to be overwhelming.

- Funding Organizations: As stewards of funds, grant making organizations need to utilize their resources in the most efficient way possible. They recognize that good projects often fail because the efforts are more advanced than what some communities are prepared to accept. Because of this, some funding organizations have used the model to quickly assess whether or not proposed projects stand a chance of success in a given community based on the readiness of the community to address the issue. Many times, they recommend that the grantee use the model to develop the infrastructure and support that will make it possible to implement projects successfully.

Validity and Reliability Of The Community Readiness Model Assessment Tool

The Community Readiness Assessment tool provides an assessment of the nature and extent of knowledge and support within a community to address an issue at a given point in time. Both "the community" and "the issue" change from application to application, so applying standard techniques for establishing validity are not easily followed. In establishing validity of a measure, it is customary to find another measure that has similar intent that is well documented and accepted and see if, with the same group of people, results on the new measure agree with results on the more established measure. It is difficult to apply this methodology to the Community Readiness Assessment tool since each application is unique and the constructs or ideas that the tool is measuring have not been addressed by other measures. There are, however, still ways validity can be established.

Establishing Construct Validity. The theory of the Community Readiness Model is a "broad scale theory." A broad scale theory deals with a large number of different phenomena such as facts or opinions and a very large number of possible relationships among those phenomena. Although it is not possible to have a single test to establish construct validity for a broad scale theory, it is possible to test hypotheses that derive from the theory and, if the hypotheses prove to be accurate, then the underlying theory and the instrument used to assess the theory are likely to be valid. This approach has been taken over the course of development of the Community Readiness Model and construct validity for the model has been demonstrated. An explication of the hypotheses tested and results are presented in numerous articles which are available from Colorado State University (www.happ.colostate.edu).

Acceptance of the Model. Although it is not a scientific demonstration of validity, the widespread acceptance and the breadth of application of the Community Readiness Model, lends credence to its validity. Literally hundreds of workshops have been conducted by Tri-Ethnic Center staff, CA7AE staff and other entities presenting the Community Readiness Model and they have been enthusiastically received. Further, from simply reading about the model on our website or in a publication, many individuals and groups request handbooks and apply the model to their own issues in their own communities without assistance. Requests for the Community Readiness Manual have come from all over the United States and Canada as well as from other countries around the world.

This level of adoption occurs because people see the value of the assessment in giving them information that accurately assesses their community's readiness to address a particular issue and, even more important, gives them a model that offers guidance to them in taking action.

As with measures of validity, the Community Readiness Assessment tool does not lend itself well to traditional measures of reliability. For many types of measures, the best evidence for reliability may be *test-retest reliability*. That type of methodology assumes that whatever is being measured doesn't change and, if the instrument is reliable, it will obtain very similar results from the same respondent at two points in time. Readiness levels are rarely static, although they may remain at approximately the same level for very low stages and very high stages for some time. Once an issue is recognized as a problem in a community (Stage 3, Vague Awareness or Stage 4, Preplanning), there is almost always some movement, often resulting in some efforts getting underway (Stage 6, Initiation) and likely becoming part of an ongoing program (Stage 7, Stabilization) or beyond. This movement from stage to stage can take place in a relatively short period of time depending on circumstances in the community and movement can occur at different rates on the different dimensions. For this reason, calculating a *test-retest reliability* is inappropriate.

Consistent Patterns. We have, however, taken a careful look at changes in community readiness over time, and there are consistent patterns that reflect on reliability. In one of those studies, for example, communities that were assessed as being low in readiness to deal with methamphetamine abuse were also assessed as being low in readiness over the next three years. In contrast, communities that were above Stage 4, Preplanning, were likely to change in readiness. For this pattern to occur, the measures of readiness had to be reasonably consistent over time.

An aspect of reliability that is highly important in determining how useful this model can be is *inter-rater reliability*. There are two ways of looking at this type of reliability for the Community Readiness Model—consistency among respondents and inter-rater reliability in scoring.

Consistency Among Respondents. One aspect of inter-rater reliability is the level of consistency among the respondents who are interviewed about readiness in their community. We have calculated consistency across respondents, and it is generally very high. We improve accuracy by restricting respondents to persons who have been in the community for a year or more, which generally results in a

valid interview--an interview that accurately reflects what is actually happening in the community.

At the same time, we do not expect or want to obtain exactly the same information from each respondent - that is why we select respondents with different community roles and community connections. Each respondent is expected to have a unique perspective and their responses will reflect that perspective. The information that is collected through the interviews is never "right" or "wrong" - it simply reflects the understanding of the respondent about what is going on in the community. There are, of course, occasions when respondents do not agree; when they have radically different views of what is going on in their community. If one respondent gives responses vastly different from the others in the same community, we add further interviews to determine what is actually occurring in that community. The very high level of agreement among respondents is, therefore, enhanced because of these methods that we use to assure that we are getting an accurate picture of the community.

Inter-rater Reliability in Scoring. Transcripts of interviews with community respondents are scored independently by two scorers to obtain the level of community readiness on each dimension. We have tested inter-rater reliability on over 120 interviews by checking the agreement between scores given for each interview by the two raters. The two scorers, working independently, gave the exact same score when rating dimensions on an interview 92% of the time. This is an exceptionally high level of agreement and speaks to the effectiveness of the anchored rating scales in guiding appropriate assignment of scores.

It is part of the scoring protocol that after scoring independently, scorers meet to discuss their scores on each interview and agree on a final consensus score. We interviewed the scorers following this process and for nearly all of the 8% of the time they disagreed, it was because one scorer overlooked a statement in the interview that would have indicated a higher or lower level of readiness and that person subsequently altered their original score accordingly.

The inter-rater reliability is, in a sense, also evidence for validity of the measure in that it reflects that each of the two persons reading the transcript of the same interview, were able to extract information leading them to conclude that the community was at the same level of readiness. If the assessment scales were not well grounded in the theory, we would expect to see much more individual interpretation and much less agreement.

Learning More About Capacity Building Assistance (CBA) Using The Community Readiness Model

At CA7AE, we offer a variety of resources to help you learn more about the Community Readiness Model.

- Visit our Web site at: <http://www.happ.colostate.edu>. Learn about available training and resources, to access full-text articles about the model, obtain staff contact information, to view a brief slide show about the model, and/or to request a free, downloadable file copy of this handbook. Select "HIV/AIDS Prevention" to learn more about the initiative to advance "this issue" prevention in Native communities and how you can request CBA.
- Contact our staff by phone or e-mail. Our staff members will be more than happy to answer your questions about the model.

1-800-642-0273

Pamela Jumper-Thurman	pamela.thurman@colostate.edu
Barbara Plested	barbara.plested@colostate.edu
Martha Burnside	martha.burnside@colostate.edu
Andrea Israel	andrea.israel@colostate.edu
Irene Vernon	irene.vernon@colostate.edu

- Schedule a Community Readiness Training for Your Community. In response to considerable interest, CA7AE staff has developed a training workshop on using the Community Readiness Model in general, as well as CBA targeted to "this issue" prevention in Native communities. Using group exercises, discussion, and audio and visual aids, our staff members will provide comprehensive training to enable you to implement the model successfully in your community. Topics include background of the model, dimensions, stages, the assessment process, scoring, and strategy development. Training generally takes 6 hours but can be tailored to fit your needs. We can arrange a session in your community or at our location in Fort Collins, Colorado. Please contact the Center for more information.

In learning about the model, you have taken an important step in your journey toward community change. We wish you every success in working toward solutions that honor the wisdom, the culture, and the resources of your community.

Bibliography

- Donnermeyer, J. F., Oetting, E. R., Plested, B. A., Edwards, R. W., Jumper-Thurman, P., & Littlethunder, L. (1997). Community readiness and prevention programs. *Journal of Community Development*, 28(1), 65-83.
- Edwards, R. W., Jumper-Thurman, P., Plested, B. A., Oetting, E. R., & Swanson, L. (2000). Community readiness: Research to practice. *Journal of Community Psychology*, 28(3), 291-307.
- Jumper-Thurman, P. (2000). In D. Bigfoot (Ed.), *Community Readiness: A promising model for community healing*. (Native American topic specific monograph series). The University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center, U.S. Department of Justice.
- Jumper-Thurman, P., Edwards, R. W., Plested, B. A., & Oetting, E. R. (2003). Honoring the differences: Using community readiness to create culturally valid community interventions. In G. Bernal, J. Trimble, K. Burlew, & F. Leong (Eds.), *Handbook of Racial & Ethnic Minority Psychology* (pp. 591-607). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Jumper-Thurman, P. & Plested, B. A. (2000). Community Readiness: A model for healing in a rural Alaskan community. *The Family Psychologist*, (Summer), 8-9.
- Jumper-Thurman, P., Plested, B. A., Edwards, R. W., Foley, R., & Burnside, M. (2003). Community readiness: The journey to community healing. *Journal of Psychoactive Drugs*, 35(1), 27-31.
- Jumper-Thurman, P., Plested, B. A., Edwards, R. W., Helm, H. M., & Oetting, E. R. (2001). Using the Community Readiness Model in Native communities. *Health Promotion and Substance Abuse Prevention Among American Indian and Alaska Native Communities: Issues in Cultural Competence, CSAP 9*, 129-158.
- Jumper-Thurman, P. & Edwards, R. (2007). Editor of Special Edition, *Journal of Substance Use and Misuse: Special Issue on Strength Based Models To Overcome Health Disparities: Bridging Research to Practice*. Vol. 42:4.
- Jumper-Thurman, P., Vernon, I., & Plested, B. (2007). Advancing "this issue" prevention among American Indians through capacity building and the community readiness model. *Journal of Public Health Management and Practice*

- Kelly, K., Edwards, R. W., Comello, M. L. G., Plested, B. A., Thurman, P. J., & Slater, M. D. (2003). The Community Readiness Model: A complimentary approach to social marketing. *Journal of Marketing Theory*, 3(4), 411-425.
- Oetting, E. R., Donnermeyer, J. F., Plested, B. A., Edwards, R. W., Kelly, K., & Beauvais, F. (1995). Assessing community readiness for prevention. *The International Journal of the Addictions*, 30(6), 659-683.
- Oetting, E. R., Jumper-Thurman, P., Plested, B., & Edwards, R. W. (2001). Community readiness and health services. *Substance Use and Misuse*, 36(6&7), 825-843.
- Plested, B.A., Jumper-Thurman, P., Edwards, R. W., & Oetting, E. R. (1998). Community readiness: A tool for effective community-based prevention. *Prevention Researcher*, 5(2), 5-7.
- Plested, B. A., Smitham, D. M., Jumper-Thurman, P., Oetting, E. R., & Edwards, R. W. (1999). Readiness for drug use prevention in rural minority communities. *Substance Use and Misuse*, 34(4&5), 521-544.
- Slater, M. D., Edwards, R. W., Plested, B. A., Thurman, P. J., Kelly, K. J., Comello, M. L. G., & Keefe, T. J. (2005). Using Community Readiness key informant assessments in a randomized group prevention trial: Impact of a participatory community-media intervention. *Journal of Community Health*, 30(1), 39-53.
- Slater, M. D., Kelly, K., & Edwards, R. W. (2000). Integrating social marketing, community readiness and media advocacy in community-based prevention efforts. *Social Marketing Quarterly*, 6(3), 125-137.
- Vernon, I & Jumper-Thurman, P. (2006) The changing face of "this issue" among Native Populations: What's Changed and What Remains the Same? *Journal of Psychoactive Drugs*.
- Vernon, I. & Jumper Thurman, P. (2002). Prevention of "this issue" in Native communities: Promising interventions. *Public Health Reports*, 7(Suppl. 1), S96-S103.
- Vernon, I. & Jumper-Thurman, P. (Manuscript Submitted). Native American Women and "this issue": Building Healthier Communities. *American Indian Quarterly*.

**Selected readings relevant to the theoretical foundation
of the Community Readiness Model**

Prochaska, J. O., DiClemente, C. C., & Norcross, J. C. (1992). In search of how people change: Applications to addictive behaviors. *American Psychologist*, 47(9), 1102-1114.

Rogers, E. M. (1983). *Diffusion of Innovations* (3rd ed.). New York: Free Press.

Warren, R. (1978). *The Community in America* (3rd ed.). Chicago: Rand-McNally.

About The Authors



Barbara A. Plested, Ph.D. is a Research Scientist and Co-Project Director at *CA7AE: Advancing "this issue" Prevention in Native Communities* and she directs the Community Readiness training team. An expert in community action planning, she has conducted countless workshops on the Community Readiness Model. She has also served as a psychotherapist for all age groups and she is as a consultant for treatment and prevention programs nationwide. Dr. Plested has co-authored numerous articles on the Community Readiness Model, including applications of the model to prevent substance use among ethnic youth, partner violence among adults, partner violence in Native communities and inhalant use. She served on one of Roslyn Carter's Caregiving Panels and participated in the Laura Bush "Helping America's Youth" Initiative.



Pamela Jumper-Thurman, Ph.D. has her Ph.D. in Clinical Psychology and is the Project Director for the *CA7AE: Advancing "this issue" Prevention in Native Communities* project. She has served as Project Director for a project funded by the OJJDP on prevention of delinquency among American Indian youth and a project funded by NIDA evaluating the effectiveness of the Community Readiness Model in two states for methamphetamine prevention. She has facilitated numerous workshops for diverse populations across the country using the Community Readiness Model. Dr. Thurman served on the Adolescent Task Force and the Rural Women's Task Force (American Psychological Association) and has served on the Advisory Council for the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention and Roslyn Carter's Caregiving Panels. She also has numerous publications focused on bridging science to practice on issues such as culture, prevention and treatment of inhalant use, "this issue", and women's health and participated in the Laura Bush "Helping America's Youth" Initiative.



Ruth W. Edwards, Ph.D. was a Senior Research Scientist at the Tri-Ethnic Center at Colorado State University. Her doctorate is in Social Psychology. She has been involved in research on social problems in rural communities, including substance use, intimate partner violence and other deviant behaviors, community level factors and their interaction with substance use patterns in youth and community factors related to inhalant use. She has publications on substance use among majority and minority culture youth in rural communities as well as on development and application of the Community Readiness Model.

Other Members of the Community Readiness Training Team



Martha Burnside, B.A. is a Research Associate at CA7AE and a member of the Sac and Fox tribe. Martha has been a community readiness trainer for seven years and has traveled extensively working with Native groups throughout the United States in the development of Readiness Action Plans. Martha has also served as a Field Coordinator on an Epidemiology project that gather drug and alcohol information from Natives throughout the U.S. as well as working as a therapist in a Native focused female residential treatment facility at the Choctaw Nation. Martha is an accomplished artist and a published poet.



Andrea Israel, B.A. is a Research Associate at CA7AE and is Navajo. She is the newest member of the training team and also produces all of the graphic art that is used for the posters, logos and brochures that are distributed nationally by CA7AE. She serves as the webmaster for the CA7AE website and serves on the National Native "this issue" Awareness Day committee to plan the national events and develop graphics for distribution. .



Irene Vernon, Ph.D. is the Chair of the Ethnic Studies Department in the College of Liberal Arts at Colorado State University. She also serves as an Associate Dean and is a trainer for Community Readiness. Irene is the author of the book "Killing Us Quietly" and has published extensively on the topic of "this issue" as well as other issues. Irene has been a trainer on Community Readiness for several year and serves as the Co-Project Director for the Advancing "this issue" Prevention in Native Communities Project.

Community Readiness Manual

Available From:

CA7AE: Advancing "this issue" in Native Communities
Ethnic Studies Department
Colorado State University
353 Aylesworth Hall, SE
Fort Collins, CO 80523
1-800-642-0273
www.happ.colostate.edu

March 2009

"this issue" P V.4